## Suppression of Cotton Bollworm in Multiple Crops in China in Areas with Bt Toxin–Containing Cotton

Kong-Ming Wu,<sup>1</sup><sup>†</sup> Yan-Hui Lu,<sup>1</sup> Hong-Qiang Feng,<sup>1</sup> Yu-Ying Jiang,<sup>2</sup> Jian-Zhou Zhao<sup>1\*</sup>

Transgenic cotton that has been engineered to produce insecticidal toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) and so to resist the pest cotton bollworm (*Helicoverpa armigera*) has been widely planted in Asia. Analysis of the population dynamics of *H. armigera* from 1992 to 2007 in China indicated that a marked decrease in regional outbreaks of this pest in multiple crops was associated with the planting of Bt cotton. The study area included six provinces in northern China with an annual total of 3 million hectares of cotton and 22 million hectares of other crops (corn, peanuts, soybeans, and vegetables) grown by more than 10 million resource-poor farmers. Our data suggest that Bt cotton not only controls *H. armigera* on transgenic cotton designed to resist this pest but also may reduce its presence on other host crops and may decrease the need for insecticide sprays in general.

Transgenic crops carrying insecticides have become an important tool for insect pest management worldwide and, in 2007, were grown on a total of 42.1 million ha, accounting for about 37% of all the transgenic crops (1). One of these, Bt cotton, produces insecticidal toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) and occupied 14 million ha worldwide and 3.8 million ha in China in 2007 (1). Bt cotton can suppress populations of a target pest with a narrow host range, e.g., pink bollworm (*Pectinophora gossypiella*) (2), but its long-term and wider ecological consequences are unknown.

The cotton bollworm, Helicoverpa armigera, is one of the most serious insect pests of cotton, corn, vegetables, and other crops throughout Asia. There are four generations of H. armigera per year in northern China. In general, wheat is the main host crop of first-generation H. armigera larvae, and cotton, corn, peanuts, soybeans, and vegetables are the major hosts for subsequent generations (3). Because of its long-distance migrations between provinces and dispersal among different host crops, provincewide outbreaks of H. armigera on cotton and other crops were common in the early 1990s in China (3). Bt cotton was first approved for commercial use in 1997 in China and remains the only Bt crop registered. By 2001, Bt cotton had been extensively planted, especially in northern China, which resulted in increased yields and decreased use of insecticides (4).

We conducted long-term and large-scale field monitoring of *H. armigera* during 1992–2007 in multiple crops in six provinces (Hebei, Shandong, Jiangsu, Shanxi, Henan, and Anhui), covering 38 million ha of farmland in northern China (fig. S1), in which 3 million ha of cotton and 22 million ha of other host crops (corn, peanuts, soybeans, and vegetables) were cultivated annually by more than 10 million small farmers. Our results indicated that both the egg density of *H. armigera* on cotton and the larval density on other major host crops were negatively correlated with the number of years after the introduction of Bt cotton in the period of 1997–2006 (Figs. 1 and 2). Before Bt cotton commercialization, the *H. armigera* population was fairly high on cotton and other host crops over the period from 1992 to 1996. However, population

density of H. armigera was drastically reduced with the introduction of Bt cotton, especially during the period from 2002 to 2006 (table S1). Using stepwise regression, we evaluated the contribution of temperature, rainfall, and deployment of Bt cotton on the population density of H. armigera in six provinces (Table 1). For all six provinces in northern China, Bt cotton acreage correlated best with the reduction in H. armigera populations (Table 1). For the second and third generations, the deployment of Bt cotton contributed more to the reduction of H. armigera density than temperature and rainfall during 1997-2006 and was the key factor for its longterm suppression in all the six provinces of northern China ( $R^2 = 0.41$  to 0.91, P < 0.05; Table 1). These results indicate that the regional occurrence of H. armigera on cotton and other major host crops in northern China was suppressed by the deployment of Bt cotton.

We also sampled H. armigera in cotton fields from 1998 to 2007 at Langfang Experiment Station in Hebei Province (5). The densities of eggs on Bt and non-Bt cotton and larvae on non-Bt cotton were negatively associated with the number of years after Bt cotton commercialization  $(R^2 = 0.52 \text{ to } 0.63, P < 0.05)$ . The population density of H. armigera can be described by the linear regression model (Fig. 3). The data also showed that the densities of H. armigera eggs were not significantly different between Bt and non-Bt cotton over the period of 1998–2007 (P >0.05) (Fig. 3A). However, larval densities on non-Bt cotton were significantly higher than those on Bt cotton from 1998 to 2006 (P < 0.05) (Fig. 3B), with an exception in 2007 when the pop-



Fig. 1. Egg densities of H. armigera from 1997 to 2006 on cotton in northern China. (A) Relation between egg density of the second generation (•) and planting year of Bt cotton. Linear model of egg density (black line),  $\gamma =$ 157,076.05 - 78.21x, F = 32.16, df = 1,549, P < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.06$ . (**B**) Relation between egg density of the third generation (•) and planting year of Bt cotton. Linear model of egg density (black line), y = 94,644.36 - 47.15xF = 26.42, df = 1,558, P < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.05$ . Data are means  $\pm$  SEM. Values in parentheses are the numbers of sampling sites for each year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, 100193, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>National Agro-Technical Extension and Service Center, Beijing, 100026, People's Republic of China.

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., Johnston, IA 50131, USA.

<sup>†</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: kmwu@ippcaas.cn

ulation density was low and larval density was not significantly different between the two treatments (P > 0.05). Using Bt cotton also reduced the duration of *H. armigera*'s oviposition period on cotton, because of decrease of moth density. Three peaks of egg density, representing the second, third, and fourth generations, respectively, were detected each year from 1998 to 2000, and in recent years, there was only one oviposition peak evident in the second generation, and no evident peak in generations 3 or 4 (fig. S2). The abundance of each generation and the peak du-

Fig. 2. Larval densities of H. armigera from 1997 to 2006 on corn, peanuts, soybeans, and vegetables in northern China. (A) Relation between larval density of the second generation (•) and planting year of Bt cotton. Linear model of larval density (black line), *y* = 480,293.95 - 239.28*x*, *F* = 16.50, df = 1,466, P = 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.03$ . (**B**) Relation between larval density of the third generation (•) and planting year of Bt cotton. Linear model of larval density (black line), y = 551,611.74 -274.83x, F = 21.45, df =  $1,462, P < 0.0001, R^2 =$ 0.04. Data are means  $\pm$  SEM. Values in parentheses are the numbers of sampling sites for each year.

ration of the third and fourth generations decreased linearly as Bt cotton commercialization proceeded through 1998 to 2007 (fig. S3). Thus, all data indicate that the commercial use of Bt cotton in northern China was associated with long-term areawide suppression of *H. armigera* after 10 years.

Regional control of *H. armigera* in multiple crops in China has been attained in recent years through the use of Bt cotton. Our results suggest that Bt cotton led to reduced populations of *H. armigera* not only on cotton but also on



**Table 1.** Effects of temperature, rainfall, and deployment of Bt cotton on the population density of *H. armigera* in northern China. Stepwise regression analysis was used for analyzing the association between population density (egg density on cotton or larval density on other host crops) of *H. armigera* and temperature (Temp.), rainfall, and deployment of Bt cotton. *F*,

. .

usually is the main host for the moths of the first generation to lay eggs and acts as the source of the subsequent generations on other host crops (6). Bt cotton kills most of the larvae of the second generation and, accordingly, works as a dead-end trap crop for H. armigera population. Interest in trap cropping, a promising agroecological approach for insect pest control, has increased considerably for modern agriculture (7, 8), but few trap crops were used on such a large scale as that of Bt cotton in northern China, which shows that Bt crop can have a great advantage to expand the traditional view of a trap crop. This dependence on Bt cotton might also contribute to a reduction in both occurrence of H. armigera and the need for insecticide sprays in non-Bt host crops such as corn, soybeans, peanuts, and vegetables.

other host crops. This may be because cotton

However, a major challenge for planting Bt cotton for pest control is the potential for insects to evolve resistance to Bt. Continuous monoculture of varieties that express the same Bt toxin could select for resistance, particularly when the amount of Bt toxin decreases as the plants age (9, 10). A promising resistance management strategy entails the use of plants with a high dose of toxin in combination with the maintenance of "refuge" crops that encourage proliferation of Bt-susceptible insects within the pest population (11-13). To this end, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency requires that each cotton farm set aside some land for cotton that does not produce Bt if farmers plant transgenic Bt cotton producing Cry1Ac toxic protein (14-16). Although successful in the United States (17), this strategy is difficult to implement in China because of the challenges associated with educating and monitoring millions of small

generation;  $R^2$ , coefficient of determination. Only variables from which the regression coefficient met the criteria of P < 0.05 are shown. NS, without significant effects (P > 0.05) on population density. + and - represent positive and negative associations between the population density and the factors, respectively. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

. . . .

Province	F	in cotton fields				on other major host crops			
		Regression coefficient			p <sup>2</sup>	Regression coefficient			<b>p</b> <sup>2</sup>
		Temp.	Rainfall	% Bt cotton	ĸ	Temp.	Rainfall	% Bt cotton	к
Hebei	2nd	NS	NS	-1.2224*	0.4392*	+0.1767*	NS	-2.4917**	0.8672**
	3rd	NS	NS	-2.1250**	0.7868**	NS	NS	-2.3092**	0.7216**
Shandong	2nd	NS	NS	-1.2932**	0.6023**	+0.1482*	NS	-1.4253**	0.7561**
	3rd	NS	NS	-1.8528*	0.4508*	NS	NS	-1.5658**	0.6724**
Jiangsu	2nd	NS	NS	-1.5974*	0.5617*	NS	NS	-2.3208**	0.6073**
	3rd	NS	NS	-1.2019*	0.4079*	NS	NS	-2.5182**	0.7124**
Shanxi	2nd	NS	-0.0080*	-3.1825**	0.8537**	NS	NS	-3.5959**	0.6308**
	3rd	NS	-0.0023*	-4.3043**	0.9145**	NS	NS	-5.3844*	0.5342*
Henan	2nd	NS	NS	-1.9166**	0.7431**	NS	NS	-1.5024**	0.6065**
	3rd	NS	NS	-1.0534*	0.5236*	NS	NS	-1.8253**	0.6017**
Anhui	2nd	NS	NS	-2.8418*	0.4876*	NS	NS	-2.8676*	0.4568*
	3rd	NS	NS	-2.1755*	0.5831*	NS	NS	-2.2374*	0.4809*
Northern China	2nd	NS	NS	-1.5425**	0.6675**	NS	NS	-1.7971**	0.7866**
	3rd	NS	NS	-2.1414**	0.8973**	NS	NS	-2.2161**	0.8794**

Fig. 3. Egg and larval densities of *H. armiaera* on cotton at Langfang site, Hebei Province, China, from 1998 to 2007. (A) Relation between egg density on Bt cotton (red circles) and non-Bt cotton (black circles) and planting year of Bt cotton. Linear model on Bt cotton (black line), y = 185,476.90 -92.42*x*, F = 69.05, df = 1,58, P < 0.0001,  $R^2 =$ 0.54. Linear model on non-Bt cotton (red line), y = 171,365.94 - 85.37x*F* = 62.59, df = 1,58, *P* < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.52$ . (**B**) Relation between larval density on Bt cotton (red circles) and non-Bt cotton (black circles) and survey vears. Linear model on non-Bt cotton (black line), y = 87,107.86 - 43.41x*F* = 97.56, df = 1,58, *P* < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.63$ . Data are means  $\pm$  SEM. There are six samples for each point in the graphs.



Year

farmers. In China, a multiple cropping system consisting of soybeans, peanuts, corn, and vegetables is common. These crops also serve as hosts for *H. armigera*, and, because they do not express Bt toxin, they serve as refuges for nonresistant insects (*10*). Because cotton is not the only host crop, Bt cotton comprises about 10% of the major host crops in any province or throughout northern China. This accidental approach to refuge management appears to have,



## **Can Catch Shares Prevent Fisheries Collapse?**

Christopher Costello,<sup>1</sup>\* Steven D. Gaines,<sup>2</sup> John Lynham<sup>3</sup>†

Recent reports suggest that most of the world's commercial fisheries could collapse within decades. Although poor fisheries governance is often implicated, evaluation of solutions remains rare. Bioeconomic theory and case studies suggest that rights-based catch shares can provide individual incentives for sustainable harvest that is less prone to collapse. To test whether catch-share fishery reforms achieve these hypothetical benefits, we have compiled a global database of fisheries institutions and catch statistics in 11,135 fisheries from 1950 to 2003. Implementation of catch shares halts, and even reverses, the global trend toward widespread collapse. Institutional change has the potential for greatly altering the future of global fisheries.



dence of global declines has only been seen quite recently. Reports show increasing human impacts (*3*) and global collapses in large predatory fishes

## **References and Notes**

- C. James, "Global status of commercialized biotech/GM Crops: 2007" (ISAAA Briefs No. 37, International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications, Ithaca, NY, 2007).
- Y. Carrière et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 1519 (2003).
- 3. K. M. Wu, Y. Y. Guo, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 50, 31 (2005).
- J. Huang, S. Rozelle, C. Pray, Q. Wang, Science 295, 674 (2002).
- Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* Online.
- K. Wu, Y. Guo, S. Gao, J. Econ. Entomol. 95, 832 (2002).
- H. M. T. Hokkanen, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 36, 119 (1991).
- A. M. Shelton, F. R. Badenes-Perez, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 51, 285 (2006).
- B. E. Tabashnik, A. J. Gassmann, D. W. Crowder, Y.Carrière, Nat. Biotechnol. 26, 199 (2008).
- 10. K. Wu, J. Invertebr. Pathol. 95, 220 (2007).
- 11. F. Gould, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 43, 701 (1998).
- A. M. Shelton, J. Z. Zhao, R. T. Roush, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 47, 845 (2002).
- B. E. Tabashnik, T. J. Dennehy, Y. Carrière, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 15389 (2005).
- 14. F. Gould et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 3519 (1997).
- 15. F. Gould, Nat. Biotechnol. 18, 266 (2000).
- Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticide News Story: EPA Approves Natural Refuge for Insect Resistance Management in Bollgard II Cotton; www.epa.gov/ oppfead1/cb/csb\_page/updates/2007/bollgard-cotton.htm.
- 17. Y. Carrière *et al.*, *Pest Manag. Sci.* **61**, 327 (2005).
- 18. K. Wu, W. Li, H. Feng, Y. Guo, *Crop Prot.* **21**, 997 (2002).
- 19. Y. H. Lu et al., Crop Prot. 27, 465 (2008).
- 20. This research was supported by 973 Projects Grant (2007CB109204) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30625028). We thank A. M. Shelton (Cornell University) and two anonymous referees for comments and suggestions.

## Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/321/5896/1676/DC1 Materials and Methods

- Figs. S1 to S3
- Table S1

References

Data Files S1 to S7

15 May 2008; accepted 8 August 2008 10.1126/science.1160550

(4) and other trophic levels (5) in all large marine ecosystems (LMEs) (6). It is now widely believed that these collapses are primarily the result of the mismanagement of fisheries.

One explanation for the collapse of fish stocks lies in economics: Perhaps it is economically optimal to capture fish stocks now and invest the large windfall revenues in alternative assets, rather than capturing a much smaller harvest on a regular basis. Although this remains a theoretical possibility for extremely slow-growing species

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, 4410 Bren Hall, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA. <sup>2</sup>Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Economics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: costello@bren.ucsb.edu

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Department of Economics, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2424 Maile Way, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA.